

### RTI APPEAL DETAILS

RTI Appeal BSNKN/A/2015/60002 RTI Appeal Received 09/09/2015

Registration No. : Date:

RTI Request BSNKN/R/2015/60007 RTI Request 31/07/2015

Registration No.: Registration Date:

> Name: Nishchal C V Gender: Male

o/o GM Broadband NetworksBangalore east telephone exchange

Buildinglazar road, bangalore

Pin Code: 560005

State: Kamalaka Country: Irxlia

+91-8022028979

Phone: Mobile No: 9448217931

Email: nischalev@gmaileom

Urban Above Status : **Educational Status:** Graduate.

Indian Is Appellant below No. Citizenship: poverty line ?:

CPIO of Public 14435 CPIO's Order/Decision Details not

Authority Approached: Date: provided

No.:

Ground For Appeal: Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information

Dear Sir Thank you for the reply given. Please provide date on which Text of RTI First

the amount will be transferred to BBNW Bangalore circle through Appeal:

CPIO's Order/Decision Details not provided



# **BHARAT SANCHAR NIGAM LIMITED**

(A Govt of India Enterprise)

Office of CGM Telecom, Karnataka Circle, No.1, S.V.Road, Halasuru, Bengaluru-560008

To Sri. Nishchal C V, O/o GM Broadband Networks, Bangalore east Telephone Exchange Building, Lazar Road, Bangalore - 560 005

No.RTI ACT-2005/Appeal/2015-16/A-3/5 dtd at BG - 8, the 05.10.2015

Sub: First Appeal under RTI ACT from Sri. Nishchal C V, Bangalore dtd 09.09.2015.

Ref: 1. Your RTI application dated: 31.07.2015.

2. The CPIO reply Lr. No. RTI/2015-16/C-35/7 dated: 31.08.2015.

### History of the case:

The Appellant, Sri. Nishchal C V, Bangalore had sought information vide his RTI application dt.31.07.2015 regarding refund of Security Deposit amount of Rs.5000/-, deposited at the time of JTO Recruitment. The information sought by the applicant was furnished by CPIO in full vide letter dated 31.08.2015.

## Contention of the Appellant:

Now the Appellant in his Appeal dt.09.09.2015has stated that he is not satisfied with the reply of CPIO. According to appellant the information furnished against his RTI case dt. 31.07.2015, is incomplete, misleading or false information.

### Observations of CPIO

CPIO has refuted the contentions of the Appellant and stated that the information in respect of his application dt. 31.07.2015 have been furnished fully vide his letter dt. 31.08.2015. In fact, the appeal is improper for the reason that the Appellant has raised a fresh query out of the information furnished by CPIO vide letter dated 31.08.2015, whereas he should have sought fresh information sought in the Appeal through a fresh RTI application.

However, the appeal has been processed in consultation with the unit concerned in this office which has stated that Security Deposit for Rs.5000/- has been transferred to present unit ic. BBNW Bangalore through the document number 1000126000 dt. 30.09.2015 raised in ERP and further the payment will be processed by the unit in which the employee presently working.

#### DECISION

In the light of the above discussions and findings, the undersigned, being the first Appellate Authority for the above Public Authority, is of the view that the information to the extent available on the subject has been furnished by the CPIO to the appellant in response to his RTI application dated 31.07.2015 and the action taken by the CPIO is in order.

The Appeal is accordingly disposed of.

P. Nagaraju)

Appellate Authority & CGM Telecom. Karnataka Circle, Halasuru, Bengaluru-08. Ph: 080 - 25362323, Fax No. 25369165.

The next Appellate Authority is, the Chief Information Commissioner, Club Building, Near Post Office, Old J N U Campus, New Delhi – 110 067